

Application No. 09/848,169	Applicant(s) COLAIUTA, NAZZARENO MASSIMO	
Examiner J. Derek Rutten	Art Unit 2122	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10:00 Eastern

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated in the Office action dated 6/18/04 that this application would be in condition for allowance if the independent claims are amended to include the features of claim 6. The above features, taken in combination with all remaining features of the independent claims are not taught or suggested by the prior art of record. Applicant's amendment filed 10/19/04 indicated that the limitations of claims 5 and 6 were incorporated into claim 1 (page 10). However, the limitations appearing in the originally filed claim 6 on page 19 line 29 through page 20 line 2, and page 20 lines 9-13 were not found in the new language of amended claim 1. Examiner Rutten suggested incorporating these limitations into claim 1 via an examiner's amendment, and applicant's representative, Mr. McDonald, agreed.